Call for Papers

(Deadline: July 31, 2022)

Women in the History of Sociology

On the importance of works of classical women social scientists

Conference / Annual Meeting of the Section "History of Sociology" of the German Sociological Association (DGS)

Organization:

Nicole Holzhauser (TU Braunschweig), Barbara Grüning (Università Milano-Bicocca)

Date:

Nov. 9 – Nov. 11, 2022 planned in attendance* with hybrid option if necessary (* = subject to the health situation)

Conference Venue:

Technische Universität Braunschweig (Institute of Technology), Germany

Small preface in own section matter of the section for the History of Sociology

As a section, we are planning a series of meetings over the next two years that will address single- and multidimensional processes of discrimination and marginalization in the history of sociology. We want to take diversity seriously as a topic and research question and contribute to the uncovering of historical social discrimination and/or marginalization, but also and specially to the removing of contemporary social inequalities of researchers as well as of research topics in the scientific field of the social sciences. To this end, we cordially invite researchers who are interested in contributing to this project from their respective current (sub-)disciplinary perspectives as well as from the research history of their field to collaborate across subdisciplines and sections. We also invite researchers working on the history of sociology as well as all other social sciences to critically examine possible historical and intersectional perspective. Our empirical analytical goal is to (re)discover lost or repressed knowledge, to theoretically reappraise related processes and practices of forgetting or repression, and to make the insights gained useful for contemporary sociology.

Nicole Holzhauser and Barbara Grüning will kick off this research series by organizing a conference (the annual meeting of 2022) on "Women in the History of Sociology." This will be followed by a section meeting in 2023 on non-European, non-Western sociological knowledge in German-language sociological history (organized by Takemitsu Morikawa). In addition, we would like to continue and intensify this research series inviting proposals for further topics and conferences.

Call for Papers

From the present of the discipline, we look back on a history of forgetting. Only very few social scientists, having outgrown their historical context, are canonized or even marginally remembered for their life, work and impact as classics, i.e., as producers of relevant and still current knowledge. Those who are remembered with their works, in turn, have a great influence on the present of the discipline, on theoretical foundations, questions, definitions and developments of terms, methodological orientations and, in particular, on the socialization of

social scientists in specialized training as well as the self-image of the discipline. Who may be preserved in the history of sociology, that is in the historical memory as well as in the present discourse of the discipline, in which ways and for which reasons? All these are crucial questions. For this reason, theoretical and empirical questions, such as those concerning the selection decisions about or the selection processes of works and persons, especially with regard to the criteria for the productive processes of remembering and forgetting, as well as their consequences, are of great importance. To what extent do social structures of power and domination determine these processes, shape and limit the knowledge of a science? How do social scientists justify their selection criteria for knowledge stocks to be preserved? And are these criteria theoretically founded? Could there be 'neutral' criteria at all and how would one arrive at them? Can the 'neutral scientific discourse' possibly itself be part of repressive mechanisms and promote discrimination or marginalization? Our aim is to approach these questions from an intersectional perspective, using the example of women in the history of sociology.

If the criteria of distinction according to which decisions about remembering (and continuing to use) and forgetting (and henceforth ignoring) are made in scholarly practice were such that no social (or otherwise, e.g., gender-correlated, categorized) groups had been systematically disadvantaged in the scholarly system compared to others, one might confidently think: 'Forgetting, it is part of it and it is productive'. However, the 'choices' in this process of inclusion and exclusion in the history of sociology are mostly not conscious. While they are usually based on rarely reflected micro-practices which affect both the disciplinary present and the future of the discipline (and thus, can also be studied in the discipline's past). These micro-practices, moreover, seem in their sum and consequence to lead to the disadvantage of very specific individuals and groups (or bodies of knowledge associated with them) whose contribution to the social sciences, however, may be relevant.

The aim of this section conference is to deal with the above-mentioned problems using the example of women in the social sciences, their individual as well as collective scientific biographies from the (forgotten) history of the social sciences. Our aim is to (re)bring to light the achievements and merits of women scholars, as well as to pose the theoretical and empirical question about their relevance and topicality. We want to ask whether there are works, approaches and perspectives that - for whatever reason - have been forgotten, but whose re-reading is essential for today's discipline, and to discuss them in terms of content, i.e., to consciously dedicate ourselves to these forgotten contents once again in a critical reflection from the current perspective. This includes the analytical question of the practices, institutions, thought patterns, fields of knowledge and power that have caused and legitimized the (previous) forgetting, as well as the methodological question of research strategies to be able to 're-find' and 'salvage' forgotten knowledge stocks in history.

Beyond the exegesis of works and thus classical sociology, we are interested in examining invisible power structures within the social science field (or within certain parts of the intellectual field) from a sociological perspective on the processes of development. On a collective level, we are also interested, for example, in gender structure and how it changed in the process of institutionalization and specialization of the social science field. How were women incorporated into the discipline or fields of social science? Which topics and subject areas do they dominate and in which were or are they underrepresented? To what extent were and are there self-selection mechanisms? We are interested in the social as well as the knowledge trajectories associated with institutionalization: Who receives(s) whom and for

what, who cooperates(s) and works(s) with whom? To what extent are these relationships shaped by power structures or power imbalances, etc.?

With this conference we want to contribute to the inclusion and explicit integration of neglected and forgotten women social scientists in the history of sociology. Connected with this is the intention to give persons and groups previously disadvantaged on the basis of social features the professional recognition they deserve by integrating them into contemporary sociological discourses, so that they can face history again under changed conditions that also need to be discussed, and so that we can (re)discuss their relevance for sociology today.

Contributions are invited that ...

- ... explore the question of how knowledge has been processed in the history of sociology in a long-term perspective, i.e., according to which selection criteria remembering and forgetting has been or is controlled in the social sciences, and which criteria these processes follow.
- ... deal with one-dimensional and multidimensional processes of discrimination and marginalization in the history of sociology using the example of women and analyze the underlying mechanisms. In doing so, an analytical approach to the question why certain groups of people were subjected to these processes, what function this had and for whose benefit this happened, should become visible.
- ... constructively address the question of what (framework) conditions and behaviors would have to be in place to prevent such discrimination and marginalization, and to what extent discrimination-free mechanisms for shaping remembering and forgetting can exist at all, or what they might look like.
- And, last but not least, we want to offer historical women from sociology and from neighboring disciplines in the humanities and social sciences, or within the intellectual field, a sociological-historical space that provides them with an opportunity to engage in a sociological debate once again under the changed competitive conditions of contemporary discourse.

We look forward to receiving meaningful contribution proposals (ca. 350 words) in German or English by July 31, 2022, with brief biographical details to n.holzhauser@tu- braunschweig.de and barbara.gruning@unimib.it. We would especially like to encourage young scientists to submit proposals.